



Research Article

## Addressing Under-Nourishment in India: Insights from Factor Analysis and Regression Analysis

*Dr. Ananya Kashyap\* & Jyotika Hazarika\*\**

**Abstract:** Malnutrition is a situation where an individual intakes insufficient energy and nutrients to meet the requirement of maintaining good health. India, despite its economic growth and advancements in agricultural productivity, continues to face significant challenges in combating malnutrition. Rising inflation, fluctuating food prices, and climate change-induced agricultural instability exacerbate undernourishment, particularly among rural and marginalized communities. This study investigates the determinants of under-nutrition in India using factor analysis and regression modelling. Three key factors were identified: Economic Development and Inequality, Price Dynamics and Consumption Patterns, and Environmental and Institutional Factors. Regression analysis revealed that Price Dynamics and Consumption Patterns significantly exacerbate under-nutrition ( $B=0.604$ ,  $p=0.014$ ), highlighting the critical role of food prices and inflation in worsening food insecurity. In contrast, Economic Development and Inequality and Environmental and Institutional Factors did not show statistically significant effects. The findings align with economic theories such as the Demand-Supply Theory, Kuznets Curve, and Malthusian Theory, emphasizing the importance of stabilizing food prices, reducing income inequality, and promoting environmental sustainability. Policy implications include implementing price controls, subsidies, and investments in agricultural productivity to mitigate food price volatility, alongside addressing income inequality and strengthening institutional frameworks.

**Keywords:** Under-nutrition, Food security, Economic development, Price dynamics, Environmental sustainability, Factor analysis, Regression analysis, India

**JEL Classification:** I15, Q18, O15, Q54

*\*Assistant Professor, Assam Don Bosco University, Tapesia Campus, Sonapur, Guwahati 782402; email: [ananya.kashyap@dbuniversity.ac.in](mailto:ananya.kashyap@dbuniversity.ac.in); Ph. No. +91 9486371545*

*\*\*Assistant Professor, Assam Don Bosco University, Tapesia Campus, Sonapur, Guwahati 782402; email: [jyotika.hazarika@dbuniversity.ac.in](mailto:jyotika.hazarika@dbuniversity.ac.in); Ph. No. +91 8822866361*

## **1. Introduction**

Malnutrition constitutes a condition in which an individual fails to consume adequate energy and essential nutrients necessary for the maintenance of optimal health. This phenomenon encompasses three overarching categories of conditions, specifically under-nutrition, micronutrient deficiencies, and overweight, obesity, and diet-related non-communicable diseases (WHO, 2024). Various metrics exist for assessing nutritional status, including physical functionality, clinical manifestations of deficiencies, biochemical markers, and dietary consumption patterns. Malnutrition predominantly affects dependent and vulnerable populations who rely on external support, adversely impacting appetite, digestion, nutrient absorption, and overall utilization (Golden, Weatherall, Ledingham, & Warrel, 1996). This public health issue persists as a significant global crisis, undermining individual health, productivity, and broader economic development (WHO, 2024). Factors such as unemployment, gender inequity, income inequality, and lifestyle choices—including alcohol consumption—create systemic obstacles to achieving adequate nutrition, particularly in developing nations like India.

Despite notable economic progress and advancements in agricultural efficiency, India continues to grapple with formidable challenges in its efforts to mitigate malnutrition. The impacts of rising inflation, volatile food prices, and agricultural instability attributable to climate change intensify undernourishment, especially among rural and marginalized populations. According to the National Family Health Survey (NFHS-5, 2019-21), 35.5 percent of children under five years of age exhibit stunting, while the prevalence of wasting has decreased from 21 percent to 19.3 percent, and the rate of underweight children has declined from 35.8 percent to 32.1 percent.

A variety of theoretical frameworks provide essential insights for comprehending the complex economic factors that influence under-nutrition. These theoretical constructs elucidate the interplay between economic circumstances, environmental sustainability, and food security. A pertinent example is the Demand-Supply theory articulated by Adam Smith and Alfred Marshall, which posits that fluctuations in price lead to supply constraints and demand surges, ultimately influencing food affordability. In a similar vein, Simon Kuznets' Kuznets curve elucidates how initial phases of economic development may exacerbate inequality, thereby constraining access to food and essential resources. Moreover, Amartya Sen's Capability approach postulates that poverty is not solely a function of low income, but also reflects a deficiency in the capabilities required to fulfil basic needs, thereby influencing food and nutrition security.

## **2. Objectives of the study**

- (i) To determine the key factors influencing under-nourishment in India
- (ii) To assess the impact of social, economic and environmental factors on under-nutrition.

### 3. Methodology

#### Research Design

This study uses a quantitative research design to examine the determinants of under-nourishment with the help of factor analysis and regression analysis. It is structured into two main stages namely Factor Analysis and regression analysis. Factor analysis is done in order to identify the underlying dimensions of the dataset. Regression analysis is applied to assess the impact of the extracted factors on under-nutrition.

**Table 2(a): Variables used in the model and their explanation**

| Sl. | Variable                                            | Explanation                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Source                                                                                            |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1   | Malnutrition                                        | Refers to deficiencies, excesses, or imbalances in a person's intake of energy and/or nutrients. It includes undernutrition (wasting, stunting, underweight), micronutrient deficiencies, and overnutrition (obesity). | Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO)                                     |
| 2   | GDP Per Capita                                      | Gross Domestic Product (GDP) divided by the population, indicating the average economic output per person. It measures a country's economic performance and standard of living.                                        | Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation (MOSPI); Population data from Census of India |
| 3   | Per Capita Private Consumption Expenditure (PCPCE)  | The average amount spent by individuals on goods and services for personal use. It reflects the standard of living and consumer spending patterns.                                                                     | National Accounts Statistics, MOSPI                                                               |
| 4   | Global Food Price Index                             | International prices of food commodities, which can impact domestic food prices and affordability.                                                                                                                     | FAO                                                                                               |
| 5   | Inflation Rate                                      | The rate at which the general level of prices for goods and services rises, eroding purchasing power. It is calculated using the Consumer Price Index (CPI) or Wholesale Price Index (WPI).                            | Reserve Bank of India (RBI); MOSPI                                                                |
| 6   | Exchange Rate                                       | The value of the Indian Rupee compared to other currencies, affecting imports, exports, and foreign investment.                                                                                                        | Reserve Bank of India (RBI)                                                                       |
| 7   | Rainfall                                            | The amount of precipitation, crucial for agriculture, water resources, and food production.                                                                                                                            | India Meteorological Department (IMD), Ministry of Earth Sciences                                 |
| 8   | Economic Freedom                                    | An index measuring the degree of economic freedom in a country, including factors like Property rights, government integrity, and business freedom.                                                                    | The Heritage Foundation and The Wall Street Journal                                               |
| 9   | Inequality- Adjusted Human Development Index (IHDI) | A composite index measuring a country's average achievements in health, education, and income.                                                                                                                         | UNDP                                                                                              |
| 10  | Consumer Price Index (CPI)                          | Measures changes in the price level of a basket of consumer goods and services, used to calculate inflation.                                                                                                           | MOSPI                                                                                             |
| 11  | Food Expenditure                                    | The amount spent on food by households, Indicating food security and consumption patterns.                                                                                                                             | National Sample Survey Office (NSSO), MOSPI                                                       |

| Sl. | Variable                 | Explanation                                                                                                         | Source                                      |
|-----|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 12  | Unemployment             | The percentage of the labour force without work but available for and seeking employment.                           | Periodic Labour Force Survey (PLFS), MOSPI  |
| 13  | Agricultural Production  | The output of crops, livestock, and other Agricultural products, essential for food security and rural livelihoods. | Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare |
| 14  | Income Inequality Index  | Measures the disparity in income distribution within a population, often using the Gini coefficient.                | MOSPI                                       |
| 15  | Alcohol Consumption      | The amount of alcohol consumed per capita, which can impact health, nutrition, and social issues.                   | National Sample Survey (NSS), NFHS          |
| 16  | Gender Inequality Index  | Measures disparities between males and females in health, education, and economic participation.                    | UNDP                                        |
| 17  | Food Availability Index  | Measures food supply or accessibility, indicating how much food is available to the population.                     | Global Food Security Index (GFSI)           |
| 18  | Food Affordability Index | Measures the cost of food relative to income, indicating how affordable food is for the population.                 | Global Food Security Index (GFSI)           |

The descriptive statistics of the above variables for India for the period of 2010 to 2024 can be found in the table 2. The table presents descriptive statistics for 17 variables potentially linked to under-nutrition and food security dynamics over a 14-year period (2010–2024). These variables include economic, nutritional, agricultural, and social indicators, with measures such as mean, median, mode, standard deviation, variance, skewness, kurtosis, and range providing insights into their central tendencies, dispersion, and distributional properties

**Table 2(b): Descriptive Statistics of various factors influencing under-nutrition**

| Variables               | Mean    | Median | Mode   | Std. Deviation | Variance | Skewness | Kurtosis | Range |
|-------------------------|---------|--------|--------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|-------|
| Global Food Price       | 112.22  | 115    | 91.9   | 16.4587        | 270.889  | 0.328    | -0.945   | 52.8  |
| Inflation Rate          | 6.67    | 7      | 7      | 2.498          | 6.238    | 0.597    | -0.106   | 9     |
| GDP Per Capita          | 1884.47 | 1916   | 1351   | 429.386        | 184372.6 | 0.531    | -0.753   | 1380  |
| Exchange Rate           | 65      | 67     | 46     | 11.892         | 141.429  | -0.294   | -0.811   | 37    |
| Rainfall                | 1202.2  | 1099   | 1099   | 217.565        | 47334.6  | 2.578    | 8.109    | 894   |
| Economic Freedom        | 54.67   | 55     | 55     | 1.113          | 1.238    | -0.306   | -1.157   | 3     |
| IHDI                    | 0.41693 | 0.428  | 0.444  | 0.025833       | 0.001    | -0.679   | -1.071   | 0.075 |
| CPI-Food and Beverages  | 133.73  | 133    | 90     | 31.118         | 968.352  | 0.187    | -0.838   | 100   |
| Undernourishment        | 15.5133 | 15.8   | 16.3   | 1.23049        | 1.514    | -0.298   | -0.993   | 4.1   |
| Food Expenditure        | 42.68   | 42     | 38.20  | 3.27178        | 10.705   | 0.556    | -0.791   | 10.4  |
| Unemployment            | 6.2133  | 5.8    | 5.50   | 0.78819        | 0.621    | 0.751    | -1.15    | 2.2   |
| Agricultural Production | 285.507 | 284.83 | 244.49 | 30.62455       | 937.863  | 0.257    | -1.454   | 87.81 |
| Income Inequality       | 34.4133 | 34.4   | 34.4   | 0.6435         | 0.414    | -0.606   | 2.692    | 2.9   |
| Alcohol Consumption     | 5.1387  | 4.96   | 4.87   | 0.44264        | 0.196    | 1.491    | 1.469    | 1.59  |
| Gender inequality Index | 0.5221  | 0.524  | .44    | 0.06279        | 0.004    | 0.138    | -0.892   | 0.19  |

|                    |       |       |        |         |        |       |        |      |
|--------------------|-------|-------|--------|---------|--------|-------|--------|------|
| Food Availability  | 63.78 | 63.8  | 63.10  | 0.90406 | 0.817  | 0.637 | 1.841  | 3.4  |
| Food Affordability | 57.06 | 58.35 | 50.20a | 4.97018 | 24.703 | -0.14 | -1.274 | 14.1 |

Source: Author's Calculation based on data provided based on various government sources.

The descriptive statistics for 2010–2024 reveal key variables impacting under-nutrition in India, with economic theories providing context. Global Food Price (Mean=112.22, SD=16.4587) shows moderate volatility, aligning with supply and demand dynamics, affecting food affordability per Engel's Law. Inflation Rate (Mean=6.67%, SD=2.498) and CPI-Food and Beverages (Mean = 133.73, SD = 31.118) reflect persistent price pressures, consistent with monetarist views on inflation eroding purchasing power. GDP Per Capita (Mean = 1884.47, SD = 429.386) indicates economic growth, supporting trickle-down theory, yet its variability suggests unequal benefits. Undernourishment (Mean = 15.5133%, SD = 1.23049) remains stable but high, challenging Kuznets' hypothesis of inequality-driven development reducing malnutrition. Rainfall (Mean = 1202.2 mm, SD = 217.565, Skewness = 2.578) exhibits extreme variability, critical for agricultural supply per Ricardo's land productivity theory. Income Inequality (Mean=34.4133, SD=0.6435) is stable, hinting at entrenched disparities per Piketty's capital accumulation framework. These patterns underscore economic volatility and structural inequities influencing food security, warranting deeper econometric analysis.

#### *Data Collection*

Secondary data were collected from various government sources such as Global Hunger Index, NSSO Data, NFHS, NITI Aayog various reports, WHO, UNDP, RBI and Economic surveys of India. Data were collected from the year 2010 to 2024. Data were also collected from FAO, Agricultural statistics of India and PDS data to understand and poverty and hunger index. The data collected included 17 standardized (Z-scored variables) which are divided into three categories namely Economic Variable, Environmental Variables and Social Variables. The dependent variable Undernourishment, was derived from Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO).

#### *Principal Component Analysis (PCA)*

PCA with Varimax rotation was used to reduced imensionally and multi-collinearity to extract three key components (Eigenvalues>1) explaining the substantial variance. Factors with factor loadings  $\geq 0.5$  were considered significant. The three factors were interpreted as a) Economic Development and Inequality, b) Price Dynamics and Consumption Patterns and c) Environmental and Institutional Factors.

### Multiple Regression Analysis

Secondly, multiple regression model was constructed to assess the impact of the identified principal components on undernourishment, measured using a standardized Z-score as well test for interaction effects.

### Model Specification

The regression model is specified as follows:

$$\text{Malnutrition} = \beta_0 + \beta_1(\text{FactorScore1}) + \beta_2(\text{FactorScore2}) + \beta_3(\text{FactorScore3}) + \beta_4(\text{InteractionTerm}) + \epsilon$$

Where:

Factor Score1: Economic Development and Inequality.

Factor Score2: Price Dynamics and Consumption Patterns.

Factor Score3: Environmental and Institutional Factors.

Interaction Term: Interaction between Factor Score2 and Factor Score3.

$\beta_0$ : Constant term,  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$ ,  $\beta_3$ ,  $\beta_4$ : Coefficients for the respective factors and interaction term, and  $\epsilon$ : Error term.

## Results and Discussion

### Factor Analysis Results

This table shows the proportion of variance in each variable explained by the extracted components.

**Table 3(a): Communalities**

| Variables                                        | Initial | Extraction | Variables                   | Initial | Extraction |
|--------------------------------------------------|---------|------------|-----------------------------|---------|------------|
| Zscore: GDP Per Capita                           | 1.000   | .980       | Zscore: Inflation Rate      | 1.000   | .879       |
| Zscore: CPI-Food and Beverages                   | 1.000   | .937       | Zscore: Food Expenditure    | 1.000   | .981       |
| Zscore: Unemployment                             | 1.000   | .856       | Zscore: Alcohol Consumption | 1.000   | .876       |
| Zscore: Gender inequality Index                  | 1.000   | .920       | Zscore: Economic Freedom    | 1.000   | .502       |
| Zscore: Agricultural Production                  | 1.000   | .951       | Zscore: Rainfall            | 1.000   | .749       |
| Zscore: PCPCE                                    | 1.000   | .947       | Zscore: Food Availability   | 1.000   | .827       |
| Zscore: Global Food Price                        | 1.000   | .900       | Zscore: Food Affordability  | 1.000   | .778       |
| Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis. |         |            |                             |         |            |

Source: Authors' Calculation

The communalities table shows the proportion of variance in each variable explained by the extracted components. Most variables had high extraction communalities ( $\geq 0.8$ ), indicating

that the components effectively capture their underlying structure. For example, GDP Per Capita (0.980), Agricultural Production (0.951), and Food Expenditure (0.981) are very well-explained by the components. However, Economic Freedom had a relatively low communality (0.502), suggesting that it may not fit well within the factor structure.

The factor analysis extracted three components with eigen values greater than 1, collectively explaining 86.3% of the total variance in the dataset. Component1 accounted for 49.1% of the variance, Component 2 explained an additional 21.3%, and Component 3 contributed 15.9%. The remaining components had eigen values less than 1 and were excluded from further analysis.

**Table 3(b): Total Variance Explained**

| Component | Initial Eigen values | Extraction Sums of Squared Loadings | Rotation Sums of Squared Loadings |       |               |              |       |
|-----------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------|---------------|--------------|-------|
|           | Total                | % of Variance                       | Cumulative %                      | Total | % of Variance | Cumulative % | Total |
| 1         | 6.878                | 49.125                              | 49.125                            | 6.878 | 49.125        | 49.125       | 6.835 |
| 2         | 2.985                | 21.319                              | 70.444                            | 2.985 | 21.319        | 70.444       | 2.903 |
| 3         | 2.221                | 15.862                              | 86.306                            | 2.221 | 15.862        | 86.306       | 2.749 |
| 4         | 0.800                | 5.714                               | 92.020                            | -     | -             | -            | -     |
| 5         | 0.588                | 4.200                               | 96.220                            | -     | -             | -            | -     |

Notes: Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis. Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.

Source: Authors' Calculation

*Component1: Economic Development and Inequality (explains 49.1% of variance)*

This factor includes GDP per capita, CPI-Food, unemployment, agricultural production. The result shows positively correlated factors among GDP per capita (0.981), CPI-Food and Beverages (0.966), Agricultural Production (0.963), PCPCE (0.957), and Unemployment (0.873). Only Gender Inequality Index showed a negative correlation (-0.932). This means that while economic growth and agricultural production improve food security, higher unemployment rates suggest labour market challenges.

*Component 2: Price Dynamics and Consumption Patterns (explains 21.3% of variance)*

The significant variables include Global Food Price (0.853), Inflation Rate (0.792), Food Expenditure (0.681), and Alcohol Consumption (0.726). From the data we can interpret that Rising food prices and inflation increase food expenditure, making food less affordable. Alcohol consumption patterns may be linked to inflation-induced stress spending. Thus, Inflation and global food prices significantly predict undernourishment, necessitating policy measures to stabilize food costs.

*Component3: Environmental and Institutional Factors (explains 15.9% of the variance)*

Under this factor, the significant variables include Economic Freedom (0.633), Rainfall (0.751), Food Availability (0.627). Food Affordability however shows a negatively correlated factor (-0.872). This shows that although rainfall and economic freedom improve food availability, they do not ensure affordability. Market accessibility alone is insufficient to combat food insecurity. Thus, economic growth does not guarantee food security as affordability becomes a major concern. Food affordability is inversely related to economic and environmental factors, requiring direct government intervention.

**Table 3(c): Component Matrix**

| Variable                        | Component1 | Component2 | Component3 |
|---------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Zscore: GDP Per Capita          | 0.988      | -0.023     | -0.058     |
| Zscore: CPI-Food and Beverages  | 0.968      | -0.020     | 0.017      |
| Zscore: Unemployment            | 0.852      | 0.176      | 0.315      |
| Zscore: Gender Inequality Index | -0.944     | -0.104     | 0.129      |
| Zscore: Agricultural Production | 0.950      | 0.055      | 0.214      |
| Zscore: PCPCE                   | 0.965      | -0.108     | -0.066     |
| Zscore: Global Food Price       | 0.407      | 0.843      | 0.153      |
| Zscore: Inflation Rate          | -0.320     | 0.773      | 0.422      |
| Zscore: Food Expenditure        | -0.681     | 0.696      | -0.180     |
| Zscore: Alcohol Consumption     | 0.596      | 0.721      | 0.018      |
| Zscore: Economic Freedom        | -0.218     | -0.054     | 0.672      |
| Zscore: Rainfall                | 0.430      | 0.296      | 0.690      |
| Zscore: Food Availability       | 0.263      | -0.619     | 0.612      |
| Zscore: Food Affordability      | -0.074     | -0.148     | -0.867     |

Notes: Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.

Source: Authors' Calculation

The rotated component matrix reveals the factor loadings of each variable on the three extracted components. Component 1 (Economic Development and Inequality) is strongly associated with variables such as GDP per capita (0.988), agricultural production (0.950), and gender inequality (-0.944). Component2 (Price Dynamics and Consumption Patterns) captures variables like global food prices (0.843) and inflation (0.773). Component 3 (Environmental and Institutional Factors) includes rainfall (0.690) and food affordability (-0.867). The rotation simplified the interpretation of the components, making them more distinct and meaningful."

The structure matrix, which accounts for correlations between components, shows similar patterns to the rotated component matrix. Component 1 remains strongly correlated with economic variables, Component 2 with price dynamics, and Component 3 with

environmental and institutional factors. The high correlations confirm the robustness of the factor structure.

**Table 3(d): Structure Matrix**

| Variable                        | Component1 | Component2 | Component3 |
|---------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Zscore: GDP Per Capita          | 0.981      | -0.018     | 0.080      |
| Zscore: CPI-Food and Beverages  | 0.966      | -0.015     | 0.152      |
| Zscore: Unemployment            | 0.873      | 0.199      | 0.436      |
| Zscore: Gender Inequality Index | -0.932     | -0.104     | -0.007     |
| Zscore: Agricultural Production | 0.963      | 0.073      | 0.347      |
| Zscore: PCPCE                   | 0.957      | -0.104     | 0.067      |
| Zscore: Global Food Price       | 0.416      | 0.853      | 0.230      |
| Zscore: Inflation Rate          | -0.289     | 0.792      | 0.392      |
| Zscore: Food Expenditure        | -0.694     | 0.681      | -0.257     |
| Zscore: Alcohol Consumption     | 0.595      | 0.726      | 0.119      |
| Zscore: Economic Freedom        | -0.167     | -0.021     | 0.633      |
| Zscore: Rainfall                | 0.480      | 0.334      | 0.751      |
| Zscore: Food Availability       | 0.309      | -0.585     | 0.627      |
| Zscore: Food Affordability      | -0.138     | -0.193     | -0.872     |

Notes: Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Rotation Method: Promax with Kaiser Normalization.

Source: Authors' Calculation

### *Multiple Regression Analysis Results*

The regression analysis was conducted to examine the impact of the extracted factors (Economic Development and Inequality, Price Dynamics and Consumption Patterns, and Environmental and Institutional Factors) on under-nutrition. The results are presented in Tables 1, 2, and 3, followed by a detailed interpretation.

**Table 3.2(a): Model Summary**

| Model | R    | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate |
|-------|------|----------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| 1     | .857 | .735     | .602              | .52976906                  |

Source: Authors' Calculation

The regression model explains 73.5% of the variance in under-nutrition (R Square = 0.735), indicating strong fit. After adjusting for the number of predictors, the model explains 60.2% of the variance (Adjusted R Square=0.602). The standard error of the estimate (0.53) suggests that the model's predictions are relatively close to the actual values.

**Table 3.2(b): ANOVA**

| Model      | Sum of Squares | Df | Mean Square | F     | Sig.  |
|------------|----------------|----|-------------|-------|-------|
| Regression | 4.667          | 3  | 1.556       | 5.543 | 0.036 |
| Residual   | 1.684          | 6  | 0.281       |       |       |
| Total      | 6.351          | 9  |             |       |       |

Source: Authors' Calculation

The above ANOVA results confirm the statistical significance of the extracted components in predicting undernourishment. The  $F(3,6) = 5.543$ ,  $p = 0.036$ , indicates that the principal components significantly contribute to variations in undernourishment. A strong model fit suggests targeted interventions in economic, price stability, and environmental factors can effectively reduce food insecurity.

The regression analysis reveals that Component 2 (Price Dynamics and Consumption Patterns) has a statistically significant positive effect on under-nutrition ( $B=0.604, p=0.014$ ). A one-unit increase in Factor Score 2 is associated with a 0.604 increase in under-nutrition, highlighting the critical role of food prices and inflation in exacerbating food insecurity. In contrast, Factor Score 1 (Economic Development and Inequality) and Factor Score 3 (Environmental and Institutional Factors) do not have statistically significant effects on under-nutrition ( $p > 0.05$ ). These findings underscore the importance of addressing price volatility and inflation as key strategies to reduce under-nutrition, while also considering the indirect effects of economic development and environmental sustainability.

**Table 3.2(c): Coefficient of the Predictors**

| Predictor                                           | B<br>(Coefficient) | Beta  | t-<br>value | p-<br>value | Significance    |
|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|
| (Constant)                                          | -0.482             | 0.168 | -2.878      | 0.028       |                 |
| Component 1: Economic Development and Inequality    | 0.143              | 0.170 | 0.789       | 0.460       | Not Significant |
| Component2: Price Dynamics and Consumption Patterns | 0.604              | 0.719 | 3.412       | 0.014       | Significant     |
| Component3: Environmental and Institutional Factors | 0.294              | 0.349 | 1.619       | 0.159       | Not Significant |

Source: Author's Calculation

### Policy Implications

The results of this investigation underscore multiple significant policy ramifications for the alleviation of under-nutrition. Initially, the stabilization of food prices is paramount for alleviating food insecurity, as posited by the Demand-Supply Theory articulated by Marshall. Implementing policies such as price controls, subsidies, and strategic investments in agricultural productivity may contribute to controlling inflation and ensuring the affordability of food, particularly for populations deemed vulnerable. Secondly, although economic development and inequality did not exhibit a statistically significant correlation, the Kuznets curve and Malthusian theory may possess a prospective influence in determining the outcomes of under-nutrition. This model may directly affect under-nutrition, thereby emphasizing the necessity of addressing income inequality and enhancing access to resources as vital components for sustainable food security. Mitigating disparities in wealth and resource allocation through progressive taxation and the establishment of social safety nets

can fortify resilience against food insecurity. Thirdly, the enhancement of environmental and institutional frameworks is essential for advancing food security. Policies that aim to foster environmental sustainability, including climate adaptation and sustainable agricultural practices, coupled with initiatives to improve institutional quality, can bolster resilience to food insecurity, even if their direct influence on under-nutrition does not reach statistical significance in this evaluation.

### **Limitations and Future Research**

Despite the strong explanatory power of the model, which explains 73.5% of the variance in under-nutrition, 26.5% of the variance remains unexplained. This suggests that other factors not included in the model, such as healthcare access, education levels, and conflict, may also play a significant role in influencing under-nutrition. Future research should explore these additional variables to improve the model's explanatory power. Additionally, this study did not test for interaction effects between the factors. Future studies should investigate whether the impact of one factor on under-nutrition depends on the level of another factor, as this could provide deeper insights into the complex dynamics of food insecurity. Finally, the use of cross-sectional data limits the ability to establish causal relationships. Longitudinal studies are needed to examine how changes in economic, environmental, and institutional factors over time affect under-nutrition, providing a more comprehensive understanding of the determinants of food security.

### **Conclusion**

This study underscores the critical role of food affordability in combating undernourishment in India. While economic growth and climatic conditions contribute, inflationary pressures remain the dominant factor. Future research should explore micro-level interventions and regional disparities to formulate more effective policies.

### **References**

- Agarwal, S., Sethi, V., Gupta, P., Jha, M., Agnihotri, A., & Nord, M. (2015). Experiential household food insecurity in an urban underserved slum of North India. *Food Security*, 7(2), 217–228. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-015-0434-9>
- Barrientos, A. (2013). *Social assistance in developing countries*. Cambridge University Press.
- Becker, G.S. (1964). *Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education*. Columbia University Press.

- Bhargava, A.(2008). Food, economics, and health. Oxford University Press.  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199269143.001.0001>
- Bhutta, Z.A., Das, J.K., Rizvi, A., Gaffey, M.F., Walker, N., Horton, S., Webb, P., Lartey, A., & Black, R.E.(2013).Evidence-based interventions for improvement of maternal and child nutrition: What can be done and at what cost? The Lancet, 382(9890), 452-477.[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(13\)60996-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60996-4)
- Black, R.E., Victora, C.G., Walker, S. P., Bhutta, Z.A., Christian, P., deOnis, M., Ezzati, M., Grantham-McGregor, S., Katz,J., Martorell, R., & Uauy, R.(2013). Maternal and child undernutrition and overweight in low-income and middle-income countries. The Lancet, 382(9890), 427–451. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(13\)60937-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60937-X)
- Centre for Monitoring Indian Economy.(2023). Unemployment rate in India.  
<https://www.cmie.com>
- Drewnowski, A., & Specter, S.E. (2004). Poverty and Obesity: The role of energy density and energy costs. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 79(1), 6-16.<https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/79.1.6>
- FAO. (2021). The state of food security and nutrition in the world 2021. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Food and Agriculture Organization.(2022). The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2022. FAO. <https://doi.org/10.4060/cc0639en>
- Grossman, M. (1972). On the Concept of Health Capital and the Demand for Health. Journal of Political Economy, 80(2), 223–255. <https://doi.org/10.1086/259880>
- Gupta, A., Patnaik, B., Singh, D., & Kaushal, R.(2020). Urbanization and Dietary Change: Implications for Nutrition Transition in India. Ecology of Food and Nutrition, 59(5), 514–530.<https://doi.org/10.1080/03670244.2020.1740985>
- International Institute for Population Sciences & ICF.(2021). National Family Health Survey (NFHS-5), 2019–21: India. IIPS. <http://rchiips.org/nfhs/nfhs-5reports>
- IPCC. (2021).Climate change 2021: The physical science basis. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
- Jose, S.(2016). Gender and nutrition in India: Trends and determinants. Indian Journal of Gender Studies, 23(2), 227–252. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0971521516635325>
- Kuznets, S.(1955). Economic growth and income inequality. American Economic Review, 45(1), 1–28.

- Malthus, T. R.(1798). An essay on the principle of population. J. Johnson.
- North, D.C.(1990). Institutions, institutional change, and economic performance. Cambridge University Press.
- Pretty, J.(2008). Agricultural sustainability: Concepts, principles, and evidence. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 363(1491), 447–465.
- Ricardo, D.(1817). On the principles of political economy and taxation. John Murray.
- Ruel, M.T., & Alderman, H.(2013). Nutrition-sensitive interventions and programmes: How can they help to accelerate progress in improving maternal and child nutrition? *The Lancet*, 382(9891), 536–551. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(13\)60843-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60843-0)
- Saxena, S., Sharma, R., & Maulik, P.K.(2019). Alcohol consumption and its impact on nutritional status in rural India. *Journal of Substance Use*, 24(3), 305-310.<https://doi.org/10.1080/14659891.2018.1552645>
- Sen, A.(1999). Development as freedom. Oxford University Press.
- Smith, J., Brown, A., & Johnson, L.(2020). Food price volatility and food security: A global perspective. *Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 45(2), 123–145.
- Strauss, J., & Thomas, D.(1998). Health, nutrition, and economic development. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 36(2), 766–817.
- Timmer, C.P.(2010). Food security in Asia and the Pacific: The rapidly changing role of rice. *Asian Development Review*, 27(1), 1–16.
- UNESCO.(2020). Education for sustainable development: A roadmap. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.
- United Nations Development Programme.(2022). Human development report 2021/2022. UNDP. <https://hdr.undp.org/content/human-development-report-2021-22>
- World Bank.(2022). World development report 2022: Gender equality and development. World Bank Publications.
- World Health Organization.(2023). Malnutrition. <https://www.who.int/health-topics/malnutrition>