

Parameteric Optimization of Tensile strength and flexural strength in 3-D Printed components

Aryan J. Naik¹, Harshil V. Bhuva², Devdeepsinh D. Rana³, Janmesh B. Panchal⁴, Vaishal J. Banker⁵, Sankalp B. Bhatia⁶

¹ Graduate in Mechanical Engineering, aryannaik.co@gmail.com

² Graduate in Mechanical Engineering, <u>bhuvaharshil@gmail.com</u>

 ³ Graduate in Mechanical Engineering, <u>ranadevdeepsinh999@gmail.com</u>
 ⁴ Graduate in Mechanical Engineering, <u>panchaljanmesh2799@gmail.com</u>
 ⁵ Assistant Professor A. D. Patel Institute of Technology Anand, India, <u>bvaishal@gmail.com</u>
 ⁶ Assistant Professor A. D. Patel Institute of Technology Anand, India, <u>sankalpbhatia@gmail.com</u>

Abstract: Additive manufacturing the most widely and commonly used technologies of manufacturing. One of the methods of additive manufacturing is Fused Deposition Modelling (FDM). FDM printed parts are being used in various application nowadays such as Aircraft parts, Automobile parts and many more. In every application, these parts have to undergo various mechanical stresses such tension, compression and flexural, etc., strength of these parts majorly depends on the various input parameters using which these parts are printed. So here we have selected some of the parameters which can have impact on the tension and flexural strength of the parts. To study the impact, we selected three parameters which are Layer Thickness, Infill Density, Feed rate and we used the material Polylactic Acid (PLA) to print the parts. Further using Taguchi's L9 algorithm we developed a DOE of Nine experiments which included various combination of those parameters, through that DOE parts were printed for both tension and Flexural strength test. Later with using those parts we performed two tests respectively for both Tension and Flexural Strength. Universal testing Machine (UTM) was used for both the tests. Finally, after performing experiments following result was obtained optimum combination of input parameters for Tension Strength is 0.2mm Layer Thickness, 75% Infill Density and 10mm/s Feed Rate which had highest value of S/N ratio 33.8.8501.

Keywords: Polylactic acid (PLA), Effect of process parameters, Fused Deposition modelling (FDM) , Tensile strength , Flexural Strength

(Article history: Received: 6th February 2021 and accepted 25th March 2021)

I. INTRODUCTION (*HEADING 1*)

In World, there is verities of manufacturing techniques which are used very commonly but as in current scenario of short time requirements one the advanced manufacturing technique is trending which is in general known as Additive Manufacturing. One of the most widely used additive manufacturing technique is Fused Deposition Modelling commonly known as 3-D printing, these techniques is basically based on layer-by-layer manufacturing. Here in these method parts can be built layer by layer through the extruded material filament [1]. These techniques provide the designer the freedom of complex and irregular design as these designs could be easily manufactured by the 3-D printing which is nearly impossible through conventional manufacturing. Also, through these technique products can be built at lower cost and product development cycle is also reduced which is the attractive advantage of the technology [2].

Fused Deposition Modelling (FDM), here the Raw material is in filament form which is heated to temperature where its state changes from solid to the semi-solid form and then it is extruded through the nozzle. Through the nozzle new layer is formed on the previous deposited layer to form the structure. These newly deposited layer gets solidified and comply the previously deposited layer through bonding between layers. So, at last these gives flexibility to build complex geometry [3]. FDM-built part can also be considered as laminated parts composite structure which have vertically stacked layers of raw material, these adds to the mechanical properties of the part depend on the raw material filament but

it also depends on the orientation and raster angle that produces the anisotropic nature of properties.

Fused Deposition Modelling (FDM) parts are being used in my industries which includes Aerospace industries. Automobile Industries, Medical industries, Electrical industries and many more. FDM parts have many promising application in various industries due to flexibility in manufacturing. So it is necessary to understand the various mechanical properties in detail of the FDM fabricated parts [3].

There are plenty of polymer material available for additive manufacturing technologies. Polylactic acid (PLA) is the most widely used polymer material in additive manufacturing technologies. PLA is the biodegradable polymer that possess good strength and biodegradable property which essential for manufacturing components from 3-D printing [4].

Tensile and flexural strength are the key mechanical properties which is required in any components which is going to be used in any working environment, for example in automobile industries brackets of parking brakes are 3-D printed, these components is being held in tension for most of its working time. Talking about the PLA material, Ultimate tensile strength of the PLA material is Twice the ABS even though the ABS is more brittle [5]. Though tensile and flexural strength various according to various printing parameters such as layer thickness, infill density, feed rate and many such. Layer thickness have major impact on the tensile strength, higher the layer thickness lowers the tensile strength, while for flexural strength it is opposing higher the thickness higher the strength [6]. Similarly, for the feed rate higher feed decreases the tensile and flexural strength [7]. Further we will be seeing effect of printing parameters on the tensile strength and flexural strength in detail.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

All the tensile and flexural specimens were built by using Wanhao Duplicator and model is I3 plus which is opensource FDM 3D printer. The machine has box size of 500 mm X 500 mm X 300 mm. The machine has a printing volume of 200 mm in X axis, 200 mm in Y axis, and 180 mm in Z axis and layer height of 0.1 to 0.5mm. The printer has a nozzle diameter of 0.4 mm. the bed is heated and having a range of 30 to 100 Degree Celsius. The printer is capable of printing with ABS, PLA, Flexible, Wood, Nylon etc. material with filament diameter of 1.75 mm.

Tensile and flexural strength of the part is significantly affected by the selection of process parameters. Hence, in the present study, three process parameters viz., layer thickness, infill density and feed rate have been selected for investigation on tensile and flexural strength at each of the three levels. The process parameters of FDM can be defined as follows:

(a) Layer thickness – it is thickness of the layer deposited by the nozzle.

(b) Infill density – it is the amount of filament printed inside the object.

(c) Feed rate – it is the speed on which the nozzle feed the material to bed.





Figure 1: Specimen Model According to ASTM code

Tensile specimen has been designed and fabricated according to ASTM code D638 standard and flexural specimen has been designed and fabricated according to ASTM code D790 shown in Figure 1. Figure 2 represents the schematic diagram of tensile and flexural specimen respectively, where dimension and geometry of test specimen can be seen. SolidWorks software has been used to model the test specimen as per ASTM code and saved as an STL file. The STL file is then imported into Wanhao Cura software (open-source 3D printer software). The Wanhao Cura has been used to control the printer setting, such as layer height, infill density, part orientation, feed rate etc. in which 3 are the process parameters. Finally, the G code file name. Gcode was generated and transferred to the Wanhao Duplicator printer to fabricate the 3D specimen. All the variable process parameters were controlled by Wanhao Cura software







Figure 2 : 3-D printed Tensile and Flexural test specimens.

Tensile and flexural specimen as shown in figure 2 were manufactured using 1.75 mm diameter PLA (polylactic acid) filament. The same spool of the PLA filament has been used to fabricate specimen so that same properties of filament material can be assured.

TINIUS OLSEN/L-Series H50KL machine has been used to perform a tensile and flexural test on the test specimen. The machine equip with 2 load cell one of 5kN and second of 50kN and built-in Horizon software which allows control, monitor and record the measurement data and chars. The machine has extension measure of resolution 0.001 mm. the machine has maximum crosshead travel excluding grips is 1100mm, distance between columns are 405mm, frame stiffness 100kN/mm at normal load points. Test samples were tested until failure at a crosshead speed of 5 mm/min. Test data was recorded through the Horizon software. For tensile and flexural the test setup is different but basic process of testing was same so both testing done in one machine.

Table 1:	Taguchi's	L9	Orthogonal	Array
			- · · - · · ·	

I WOIT IN INGHT							
Input Parameters	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3				
Layer Thickness (mm)	0.1	0.2	0.3				
Infill Density (%)	25	50	75				
Feed Rate (mm/s)	10	40	70	-			
Number of Input Parame	eters (Factors	5) =	3				
Number of Levels			=3				
Number of Experiments		=	3 ³				

Now, using Taguchi's L9 Orthogonal Array, the total number of experiments are reduced to 9 from 27 to reduce the cost and improve the productivity.

Table 2: Design of Experiment for Tensile Strength						
Sr. No.	Layer	Infill Density	Feed Rate			
	Thickness	(%)	(mm/s)			
	(mm)					
1	0.1	25	10			
2	0.1	50	40			
3	0.1	75	70			
4	0.2	25	40			
5	0.2	50	70			
6	0.2	75	10			
7	0.3	25	70			
8	0.3	50	10			
9	0.3	75	40			

Moreover, in present investigation, the Taguchi's design has been used to perform an experimental run at every combination of the factor levels. Three factors have been varied at the three levels so according to Taguchi L9 experimental design, total 9 number of experiments need to be performed as shown in the Table 2. So here total 18 specimen have to print, 9 for tensile and 9 for flexural. For each experiential run, the process parameters were set according to Taguchi's L9 experimental design

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The tensile and flexural tests were carried out to measure the effects of different values of layer thickness, infill density and feed rate on 3D printed parts.

3.1 Results of tensile strength

					0
Sr.	Layer	Infill	Feed	Tensile	S/N
No.	Thickness	Density	Rate	Strength	Ratio
	(mm)	(%)	(mm/s)	(N/m^2)	
1	0.1	25	10	30	29.5424
2	0.1	50	40	27.6	28.8182
3	0.1	75	70	26.2	28.3660
4	0.2	25	40	26.7	28.5302

70

10

70

10

40

29.1

35.6

29.1

35

32.3

29.2779

31.0290

29.2779

30.8814

30.1841

50

75

25

50

75

5

6

7

8 9 0.2

0.2

0.3

0.3

0.3

Table 3.1.1: Results of Experiment for Tensile Strength

As discussed above there are 3 different experimental objectives for S/N ratio but here we selected larger the better. That means the highest value of the SN ration shows the significance parameter for tensile testing. The table 3.1.1 shows the results of the experiment. Here the biggest S/N ratio value is 31.0290 which have the highest value of tensile strength 35.6 N/m² and the values of layer thickness,



infill density and feed rate for the same are 0.2mm, 75% and 10mm/s respectively.

Level	Layer Thickness (mm)	Infill Density (%)	Feed Rate (mm/s)
1	28.91	29.12	30.48
2	29.61	29.66	29.18
3	30.11	29.86	28.97
Delta	1.21	0.74	1.51
Rank	2	3	1

 Table 3.1.2: Response table for Signal to Noise

 Table 3.1.3: Response table for Means

Level	Layer Thickness	Infill Density	Feed Rate (mm/s)
	(mm)	(%)	
1	27.93	28.60	33.53
2	30.47	30.57	28.87
3	32.13	31.37	28.13
Delta	4.20	2.77	5.40
Rank	2	3	1

The table 3.1.2 and 3.1.3 are Response Table for SN Ratio of tensile strength and means of tensile strength respectively, where the rank is selected according to delta values. The rank 1 shows the most significant parameter for tensile strength and it is feed rate for both the tables. Figure 3 shows the main effect plot for SN ratio of tensile strength and means of tensile strength respectively, in which the highest slope is for feed rate in both the figures, which give the significance that the feed rate is most significant parameter for tensile strength





Figure 3: Plots for Means and S/N ratio

The significance of each parameter on tensile strength is also obtained by analyzing the observation through analysis of variance (ANOVA). This analysis gives the main effect of the process parameters on tensile strength. This also direct the effect of independent parameters on tensile strength.

Table 5.1.4. Analysis of Variance					
Source	D	Adj	Adj	F-	Р-
	F	SS	MS	Value	Value
Layer	2	26.83	13.41	7.45	0.118
Thickness (mm)		6	8		
Infill Density	2	12.16	6.081	3.38	0.229
(%)		2			
Feed Rate	2	51.47	25.73	14.29	0.065
		6	8		
Error	2	3.602	1.801		
Total	8	94.07			
		6			

Table 3.1.4: Analysis of Variance

The table 3.1.4 the ANOVA for tensile strength. The F-value is use to select or reject the parameter. By observing the F-values the highest among all is 14.29 which show the most significant process parameter which is feed rate for tensile strength.

By all the methods the most significant process parameter found is feed rate for tensile strength.

The regression equation for tensile strength is.

0 1	U ,
Tensile Strength =	30.178 – 2.244 Layer Thickness
	$(mm)_{0.1} + 0.289$ Layer
	Thickness (mm)_0.2 + 1.956
	Layer Thickness (mm)_0.3 -
	1.578 Infill Density (%) _25 +
	0.389 Infill Density (%) _50 +
	1.189 Infill Density (%) _75 +
	3.356 Feed Rate Slow – 1.311
	Feed Rate Medium – 2.044 Feed
	Rate Fast

Regression values are 96.17% for R-sq and 84.68% for R-sq(adj), which is in between 80% to 100% which shows that for tensile testing the regression model is accurate.

Table 3.2.1: Result of experiment for Flexural Strength							
Sr.	Layer	Infill	Feed	Flexural	S/N		
No.	Thickness	Density	Rate	Strength	Ratio		
	(mm)	(%)	(mm/s)	(N/m^2)			
1	0.1	25	10	74.8	37.4780		
2	0.1	50	40	81.7	38.2444		
3	0.1	75	70	75	37.5012		
4	0.2	25	40	78.7	37.9195		
5	0.2	50	70	79.7	38.0292		
6	0.2	75	10	80.7	38.1375		
7	0.3	25	70	83.7	38.4545		
8	0.3	50	10	87.6	38.8501		
9	0.3	75	40	85.6	38.6495		

3.2 Results of flexural strength

Fable	3.2.1:	Result	of ex	periment	for	Flexural	Strength
			v- •				2 th thing the

Here also we selected bigger is better SN ratio for flexural testing. That means the highest value of the SN ration shows the significance parameter for flexural testing. The table 3.2.1 shows the results of the experiment. Here the biggest SN ratio value is 38.8501 which have the highest value of flexural strength 87.6 N/m² and the values of layer thickness, infill density and feed rate for the same are 0.3mm, 50% and 10mm/s respectively.

Table 3.2.2: Response table for Signal to Noise Ratio

Level	Layer	Infill	Feed Rate
	Thickness (mm)	Density (%)	
	(mm)		
1	37.74	37.95	38.16
2	38.03	38.37	38.27
3	38.65	38.10	37.99
Delta	0.91	0.42	0.28
Rank	1	2	3

Table 3.2.3: Response table for Means

Level	Layer	Infill	Feed Rate
	Thickness	Density (%)	
	(mm)		
1	77.17	79.07	81.03
2	79.70	83.00	82.00
3	85.63	80.43	79.47
Delta	8.47	3.93	2.53
Rank	1	2	3

The table 3.3.2 and 3.2.3 are Response Table for SN Ratio of flexural strength and means of flexural strength respectively, where the rank is selected according to delta values. As we know the rank 1 shows the most significant parameter for flexural strength and it is layer thickness for both the tables. Figure 4 show the main effect plot for SN ratio of tensile strength and means of tensile strength respectively, in which the highest slope is for layer thickness in both the figures, which give the significance that the layer thickness is most significant parameter for tensile strength.





Figure 4 : Plots for Means and S/N ratio

•/	Table	3.3.4:	Analy	ysis	of	Va	rianc	e
----	-------	--------	-------	------	----	----	-------	---

Source	D	Adj SS	Adj MS	F- Volu	P- Volu
	г	66	IVIS	e e	e e
Layer	2	113.	56.65	16.86	0.056
Thickness		307	3		
(mm)					
Infill Density	2	23.9	11.96	3.56	0.219
(%)		27	3		
Feed Rate	2	9.80	4.903	1.46	0.407
		7			
Error	2	6.72	3.360		
		0			
Total	8			-	

The table 3.2.4 the ANOVA for flexural strength. The Fvalue is use to select or reject the parameter. By observing the F-values the highest among all is 16.86 which show the most significant process parameter which is layer thickness for flexural strength.

By all the methods the most significant process parameter found is layer thickness for flexural strength.

The regression equation for tensile strength is,

Flexural Strength = 80.833 - 3.667 Layer Thickness

 $(mm)_0.1 - 1.133$ Layer Thickness (mm)_0.2 + 4.800 Layer Thickness (mm)_0.3 – 1.767 Infill Density (%) _25 + 2.167 Infill Density (%) _50 -



0.400 Infill Density (%) _75 + 0.200 Feed Rate Slow + 1.167 Feed Rate Medium - 1.367 Feed Rate Fast

Regression values are 95.63% for R-sq and 82.52% for R-sq(adj), which is in between 80% to 100% which shows that for flexural testing the regression model is accurate.

IV. CONCLUSION

Through various experiment performed separately for both tensile strength and flexural strength, it was found various input parameter have their impact on the both the mechanical property. Further on learning the results in detail it was observed that not the same input parameter have Eventually after performing nine experiment each for both tensile and flexural strength we concluded that optimal combination for tensile strength is 0.2(mm) layer thickness, highest infill density of 75 % and lowest feed rate of 10 mm/s which give Signal-to-noise ratio of 31.0290, while for flexural strength values are 0.3 mm of layer thickness, average infill density of 50% and again the lowest feed rate of 10 mm/s which signal-to-noise ratio of 38.8501.

REFERENCES

- Melenka, Garrett W., Benjamin KO Cheung, Jonathon S. Schofield, Michael R. Dawson, and Jason P. Carey. "Evaluation and prediction of the tensile properties of continuous fiber-reinforced 3D printed structures." Composite Structures 153 (2016): 866-875.
- [2] Sood, Anoop Kumar, Raj K. Ohdar, and Siba S. Mahapatra. "Parametric appraisal of mechanical property of fused deposition modelling processed parts." Materials & Design 31, no. 1 (2010): 287-295.
- [3] Ahn, Sung-Hoon, Michael Montero, Dan Odell, Shad Roundy, and Paul K. Wright. "Anisotropic material properties of fused deposition modeling ABS." *Rapid prototyping journal* (2002).
- [4] Nugroho, A., R. Ardiansyah, L. Rusita, and I. L. Larasati. "Effect of layer thickness on flexural properties of PLA (PolyLactid Acid) by 3D printing." In Journal of Physics: Conference Series, vol. 1130, no. 1, p. 012017. IOP Publishing, 2018.
- [5] Rajpurohit, Shilpesh R., and Harshit K. Dave. "Analysis of tensile strength of a fused filament fabricated PLA part using an open-source 3D printer." The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology 101, no. 5-8 (2019): 1525-1536
- [6] Aryan J. Naik ,Harshil B. Bhuva , Janmesh B. Panchal, Devdeepsinh D. Rana, Vaishal J. Banker, and Sankalp B. Bhatia "Tenisle strength and Flexural strength of components manufactured from Additive Manufacturing-A review" (202) :2319-6726
- [7] Casavola, Caterina, Alberto Cazzato, Vincenzo Moramarco, and Carmine Pappalettere. "Orthotropic mechanical properties of fused deposition modelling parts described by classical laminate theory." Materials & design 90 (2016): 453-458.
- [8] Vaezi, Mohammad, and Chee Kai Chua. "Effects of layer thickness and binder saturation level parameters on 3D printing process." The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology 53, no. 1-4 (2011): 275-284.
- [9] Aryan J. Naik ,Harshil B. Bhuva , Janmesh B. Panchal, Devdeepsinh D. Rana, Vaishal J. Banker, and Sankalp B. Bhatia "Tenisle strength and Flexural strength of components manufactured from Additive Manufacturing-A review" (202) :2319-6726
- $\left[10\right]$ ASTM D 638 14, Standard Test Method for Tensile Properties of Plastics
- [11] ASTM D 790 03, Standard Test Methods for Flexural Properties of Unreinforced and Reinforced Plastics and Electrical Insulting Materials



AUTHOR PROFILE

Aryan Jayesh Naik

Graduate in Bachelors of Mechanical Engineering.

Currently pursing higher studies in Quality Assurance in Manufacturing and Management from Conestoga College Institute of Technology and Advance learning, Ontario, Canada.

Harshil Valjibhai Bhuva

Graduate in Bachelors of Mechanical Engineering.

Currently an entrepreneur, owns and runs Techno blast Plast bottle making industry in Vadodara, Gujarat, India.



Devdeepsing Dashratsinh Rana

Graduate in Bachelors of Mechanical Engineering. Currently working as Trainee in Aarti

Currently working as Trainee in Aarti Industries limited Chemical Manufacturer in Dahej, Gujarat, India.



Janmesh Bharatbhai Panchal

Graduate in Bachelors of Mechanical Engineering. Currently working as Quality Inspector in Rahul Ferromat Limited a stainlesssteel tube manufacturing Industry in Bharuch, Gujarat, India.



Vaishal Jitendra Banker,

Academician having 6 years of experience in domain of design and manufacturing.

Currently working as an Assistant Professor in Mechanical Engineering Department of A. D. Patel Institute of Technology, Gujarat, India.

Sankalp Bipinkumar Bhatia

Academician having 10 years of experience in domain of design, manufacturing & robotics. Currently working as an Assistant Professor in Mechanical Engineering Department of A. D. Patel Institute of Technology, Gujarat, India.